UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the
	District of New Mexico
United States of America v. WILLIE HORTON Defendant) Case No. 19-1631 JB)
ORDER OF DE	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I	- Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
Motion of the Government or Cour	expursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or t's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), extention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of factor of the findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact	and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination and the community because the following cor (1) the defendant is charged with one of a crime of violence, a violation of a crime of a	of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): on of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. ximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or imum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or turn term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the u.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act ter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, described in subparagraphs (a) the jurisdiction had existed, or a com-	or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses rough (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal bination of such offenses; or
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the posses	se a crime of violence but involves: sion of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offer to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. can be that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise d (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
(s) the offense described in paragraph	(2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472. ((Rev. 11/16	Order of	Detention	Pending	Trial

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
 By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision □ History of violence or use of weapons
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision □ History of violence or use of weapons □ History of alcohol or substance abuse
 □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision □ History of violence or use of weapons □ History of alcohol or substance abuse □ Lack of stable employment

Case 1:19-cr-01631-JB Document 29 Filed 06/20/19 Page 3 of 3

AO 4/2 (Rev. 11/16) Ord	rder of Detention Pending 1ffal			
Lack of le	ant family or other ties outside the United States legal status in the United States to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration			
	lure to appear in court as ordered empt(s) to evade law enforcement			
Use of alia	lias(es) or false documents und information unknown or unverified			
<u></u>	plations of probation, parole, or supervised release			
	NS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: NT STIPULATES TO DETENTION AT THIS TIME; DEFENDANT IS REMANDED TO CUSTO	ODY		
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention				
for confinement in a being held in custod with defense couns person in charge of	emanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated represent a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentenced pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consult usel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose nection with a court proceeding.	ces or tation it, the		
Date: 0	06/20/2019			
	Jerry H. Ritter			

United States Magistrate Judge